## 166. Ironcarbonyl Complexes of 5,6-Dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene Derivatives. Synthesis of Substituted Tricarbonyl(*ortho*-quinodimethane)iron Complexes and 2-Indanones

by Edia Bonfantini<sup>1</sup>), Jean-Luc Métral<sup>2</sup>), and Pierre Vogel\*

Institut de chimie organique de l'Université de Lausanne, 2, rue de la Barre, CH-1005 Lausanne

## (13.VII.1987)

The l-dimethoxymethyl-5,6-dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene (9) has been prepared. On treatment with Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub>, the endocyclic double bond C(2)=C(3) was coordinated first giving the corresponding *exo*-Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub> complex **10**. The latter reacted with Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>9</sub> and afforded *cis*-heptacarbonyl- $\mu$ -[(1*RS*,2*SR*,3*RS*,4*SR*,5*RS*,6*SR*)-2,3- $\eta$ : C,5,6,C- $\eta$ -(1-(dimethoxymethyl)-5,6-dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene)]diiron (11) as a major product. On heating, **11** underwent deoxygenation of the 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene)]diiron (11) as a major nyl[C,5,6,C- $\eta$ -(1-(dimethoxymethyl)-5,6-dimethylidenecyclohexa-1,3-diene)]iron (13). In MeOH, a concurrent, regioselective methoxycarbonylation was observed giving tricarbonyl[C,3,4,C- $\eta$ -(methyl 5-(dimethoxymethyl)-3,4-dimethylidenecyclohexa-1,3-diene)]iron (14). Oxidative removal of the Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> moiety in 13 and **14** did not afford the expected *ortho*-quinodimethane derivatives but led to CO insertions giving 2,3-dihydro-2-oxo-1*H*-indene-5-carboxylate (**21**), respectively.

Introduction. – The complexation of 5,6-dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene (1) by transition metals has been described recently [1] [2]. For various metalcarbonyls (Fe, Ru, Os, Cr, W) it was found that the endocyclic double bond between C(2) and C(3) in 1 is always coordinated faster than the exocyclic diene moiety, leading to the isolation of  $\eta^2$ -complexes 2 in good yield, provided the complexation reaction was carried out in a non-coordinating solvent. Prolonged exposure of 2 to metalcarbonyls gave the corresponding doubly complexed systems 3 and 4. Depending on the nature of the metal, of the solvent, and the reaction temperature, condensation products were also formed which arose from a formal [4+2]-cyclodimerization of 2 [3] [4]. On heating the iron double complexes 3/4 in toluene, the ortho-quinodimethane complex 5 was obtained in 60% yield. Thermolysis of 3/4 in MeOH afforded instead the carboxylated derivative 6.

Recently, we reported on the syntheses of the acetal derivatives 7 [5] and 8 [6] and on their *Diels-Alder* stereo- and regioselectivity. We have now prepared the corresponding triene-acetal 9 and report here on its reaction with  $Fe_2(CO)_9$ . We shall show that the corresponding complexes 10 and 11/12 can be prepared readily. The double complexes 11/12 can be transformed to the corresponding (*ortho*-quinodimethane)iron complexes 13 and 14 from which 18 and 19, respectively, were obtained. Contrary to an earlier report [7], we have found that Ce(IV) can oxidize the metallic complexe 5, leading to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) Part of the Ph. D. thesis of E. Bonfantini, in preparation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>) Part of the Ph. D. thesis of J.-L. Métral, Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne, Dec. 1984. Present address: Ciba-Geigy AG, CH-1870 Monthey.



formation of 2-indanone (15). The same oxidative carbonylation process was observed with the substituted derivatives 13 and 14 ( $\rightarrow$ 16 and 17, resp.), thus opening a simple method for the synthesis of rare substituted 2-indanones such as 20 and 21.

**Results.** – The synthesis of triene 9 started with the *Diels-Alder* addition of maleic anhydride to 2-(dimethoxymethyl)furan (22) [8] [6b]. The corresponding adduct was reduced by LiAlH<sub>4</sub> to give the ene-diol 23. Mesylation (MsCl/pyridine) gave the diester 24 which furnished 9 on treatment with *t*-BuOK in anh. dimethylformamide (DMF)/hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPT) 6:1.

On heating triene 9 in MeOH with an excess of  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  (40–70°, Ar), the monocomplex 10 was formed first which was then transformed into a mixture of the dicomplexes 11 and 12. Under these conditions, 10 gave also some products of condensation [9], and 11/12 were decomposed into a mixture of the substituted *ortho*-quinodimethane complexes 13 and 14. The proportions of 10/11/12/13/14 varied with the concentrations of 9 and  $Fe_2(CO)_9$ , the temperature of the reaction, and the flow of Ar. These complexes were isolated by column chromatography on silica gel and identified easily by their mode of formation, their spectral data, and their elemental analyses (see *Exper. Part*).

The *exo* configuration of the Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub> moiety in **10–12** was established by the vicinal coupling constant  ${}^{3}J < 1$  Hz observed between the bridgehead proton H–C(4) and the adjacent olefinic proton H–C(3) [1] [2] [10]. The distinction between the *exo*- and *endo-\eta^{4}*-dienc Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> complexes **11** and **12** was based on the observation of a long-range coupling constant  ${}^{4}J$  of 0.8 Hz between H–C(4) and the methylidene proton *trans* with respect to C(5)=C(6) in the 360-MHz <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum of **11**. The latter coupling constant was < 0.4 Hz for the *endo*-isomer **12** [11].

On heating pure 11 in toluene (95°, 80 min), the *ortho*-quinodimethane complex 13 was obtained in 53% yield (isolated). Hydrolysis of 13 (THF/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1, *Nafion*-H<sup>+</sup>, 20°, 1 d) gave the corresponding aldehyde 18 (93%). On heating 11 in MeOH (50–60°), a 14:1 mixture of 13 (63%) and of the carboxylated derivative 14 (4.4%) was obtained. Under bubbling of Ar, the thermal decomposition of 11 was complete after 5 d at 60° in MeOH,

whereas under 2.5 atmosphere of CO, the thermal decomposition of 11 was incomplete (ca. 80%) after 5 d at 60° in MeOH (see *Exper. Part*). No trace of an isomer of 14 could be detected in the crude reaction mixture, thus demonstrating the high regioselectivity of the methoxycarbonylation reaction  $11 \rightarrow 14$ . The proportion of 14 was increased by applying a pressure of CO. Hydrolysis of 14 by the same procedure as for 13 yielded 19.

All our attempts to generate the uncoordinated *ortho*-quinodimethanes by oxidation of the metal in complexes 13 and 14 failed. On heating with Me<sub>3</sub>NO [12], decomposition of 13 and 14 was observed as a slow reaction. In the presence of an excess of  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO)_6$  in acetone [13] at 20°, however, 13 gave a mixture of products from which the 2-indanone derivative 20 was isolated in 65% yield. Control experiments confirmed that the primary product of reaction was the acetal 16. Nevertheless, 16 was an unstable compound under our workup conditions, giving the corresponding carbaldehyde 20. On treatment of complex 18 with Ce(IV) salt, 20 was also formed in 69% yield. Under the same conditions, complex 14 afforded the disubstituted 2-indanone derivative 21, arising probably from acetal 17 which, as in the case of 16, is expected to be hydrolysed rapidly under our workup conditions.

An earlier report [7] stated that the unsubstituted tricarbonyl(*ortho*-quinodimethane)iron 5 was inert toward oxidizing agents such as Ce(IV) salts. We have found, however, that the treatment of 5 (prepared form 1,4-dibromo-2,3-dimethylbenzene, [14]) with an excess of  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$  in acetone at 20° gave pure 2-indanone (15) in 90% isolated yield. The reaction  $5\rightarrow 15$  has been reported before [15] [16] to be induced by AlCl<sub>3</sub>. Under the latter conditions, the yield of 15 was only moderate in our hands. Furthermore, when derivatives 13 and 14 were treated with AlCl<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, very complicated mixtures of products were obtained in which only traces of the corresponding 2-indanones 20 and 21 could be detected.

**Discussion.** – At this stage of our investigations, no limiting mechanisms can be retained for the deoxygenation  $11 \rightarrow 13$  and the carboxylation  $11 \rightarrow 14$ . The deoxygenation of 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hepta-2,5-diene derivatives complexed to Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub> is a known reaction [1] [17]. The concomitant carboxylation of the resulting cyclohexadiene product was first observed for the unsubstituted dicomplex 3[1]. The high regioselectivity observed for reaction  $11 \rightarrow 14$  is noteworthy but does not authorize to limit the number of mechanistic hypotheses. Since reactions  $11 \rightarrow 13$  and  $11 \rightarrow 14$  are both retarded on applying a CO pressure, it is probable that the first step of these two reactions implies the loss of a CO molecule from the Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub> moiety in 11, followed by an oxidative addition of the metal into one of the two allylic C-O bonds [18] [19].

Tricarbonyl(1,3-diene)iron derivatives are known to give the corresponding cyclopent-3-one derivatives on treatment with a strong *Lewis* acid such as  $AlCl_3$  [15] [16] [20]. Anhydrous aluminium salts help the CO insertion reaction [21]. Under oxidizing conditions, some allyliron complexes have been reported to undergo CO insertion [22]. It is not clear yet whether it is the *Lewis* acid character of the Ce(IV) salt or its oxidation potential which is responsible of the smooth CO insertions  $5 \rightarrow 15$ ,  $13 \rightarrow 16$ , and  $18 \rightarrow 20$ . A clean CO insertion into cyclopentadienyl(*ortho*-quinodimethane)cobalt to give 2-indanone has been reported by *Hersch* and *Bergman* [23].

**Conclusion.** – An access to rare 4-substituted and 4,6-disubstituted 2-indanones has been developed from the ironcarbonyl complexes of a l-substituted 5,6-dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene derivative.

We thank F. Hoffmann-La Roche & Co. AG, Basel, the Swiss National Science Foundation, and the Fonds Herbette, Lausanne, for generous financial support.

## **Experimental Part**

General. See [6b].

[(1RS,4SR,5SR,6RS)-1-(Dimethoxymethyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene-5-exo,6-exo-dimethyl] Dimethanesulfonate (24). Methanesulfonyl chloride (17 ml, 218 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of 1-(dimethoxymethyl)-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene-5-exo,6-exo-dimethanol (23 [6b]; 10 g, 43 mmol) and anh. pyridine (29 ml) at 0° and under N<sub>2</sub>. After stirring at 0° for 1 h, the mixture was stored in a refrigerator at -10° for 4 d. The mixture was then poured into vigourously stirred ice-cold H<sub>2</sub>O (2 1). The precipitate was collected by filtration and dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 ml). After washing with H<sub>2</sub>O and drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was evaporated. The residue was recrystallized from CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -20°, yielding 10.06 g (60%) of colourless crystals. M.p. 118–120° (dec.). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3060, 2970, 2940, 2840, 1360, 1340, 1175, 1105, 1080, 970, 945, 855, 805. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.37 (br. *s*, H-C(2), H-C(3)); 4.9 (br. *s*, H-C(4)); 4.78–3.9 (*m*, CH-C(1)); CH<sub>2</sub>-C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>-C(6)); 3.53 (*s*, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.0 (*s*, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>S); 2.51–2.02 (*m*, H-C(5), H-C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 137.1 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 178, C(2)); 135.3 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 177, C(3)); 103.7 (*dm*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 159, CH-C(1)); 91.4 (*m*, C(1)); 80.2 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 165, C(4)); 69.5, 67.3 (2*t*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 152, 2 CH<sub>2</sub>O); 57.1, 56.6 (2*qd*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 142, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C,H) = 5, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 41.7, 41.67 (2*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 140, C(5), C(6)); 37.5, 37.3 (2*q*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 139, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>S). CI-MS (CH<sub>4</sub>): 356 (2, *M*<sup>+</sup> + H-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 258 (10), 111 (12), 41 (37), 29 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>9</sub>S<sub>2</sub> (386.341): C 40.41, H 5.74; found: C 40.38, H 5.62.

*1-(Dimethoxymethyl)-5,6-dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene* (9). *t*-BuOK. (18 g, 160 mmol) was added portionwise under N<sub>2</sub> to a stirred mixture of **24** (6 g, 15.5 mmol) and anh. DMF/HMPT 6:1 at 0°. After stirring at 0° for 2 h, H<sub>2</sub>O (20 ml) was added and the mixture extracted with pentane (200 ml, 5 times). The org. extract was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>). The solvent was distilled off (*Vigreux* column) and the residue bulb-to-bulb distilled (*Büchi*), giving 1.96 g (65%) of a colourless liquid. B.p. 90°/0.5 Torr. UV (isooctane): 233 (9000), 229 (sh, 9100), 223 (sh, 9700), 205 (14200). UV (95% EtOH): 228 (8700), 223 (sh, 8600), 220 (sh, 9400), 204 (13100). IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3050, 2990, 2960, 2940, 2910, 2840, 1185, 1150, 1105, 1085, 1050, 1035, 985, 940, 930, 890, 820. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 6.52–6.43 (*m*, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H–C(2), H–C(3)) = 5.6, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H–C(3), H–C(4)) = 1.6, <sup>4</sup>*J*(H–C(2), H–C(4)) = 0.5, <sup>5</sup>*J*(H–C(3), H–C(5)) = 0.6, H–C(2), H–C(3)); 5.36, 5.26, 5.25, 5.08 (4*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>=C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(6)); 5.18 (br. *s*, H–C(4)); 4.83 (*s*, CH(OCH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); 3.6, 3.54 (2*s*, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>): 145.6 (*m*, C(5)); 144.1 (*m*, C(6)); 135.2 (2 *dm*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 179, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C,H) = 3.5, C(2), C(3)); 103.7 (*dm*, CH–C(1)); 102.8, 101.0 (2 *t*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 158, CH<sub>2</sub>=C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(6)); 92.0 (*m*, C(1)); 82.5 (*dm*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 166, C(4)); 55.4, 55.36 (2 *qd*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 144, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C,H) = 5, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O). CI-MS (CH<sub>4</sub>): 195 (5, *M*<sup>+</sup> + H), 163 (15), 135 (26), 131 (11), 75 (50), 57 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (194.232): C 68.02, H 7.27; found: C 67.95, H 7.27.

Complexation of 9 with  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  (Method A).  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  (13.4 g, 36.8 mmol) was added portionwise to a soln. of 9 (4 g, 20.6 mmol) in MeOH (110 ml) and heated to 70° under Ar bubbling. After 26 h, the mixture was filtered and the solvent evaporated. The residue was filtered through a short column of silica gel (AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:4) and then chromatographed on silica gel (type C column, Lobar, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:5), yielding three Fractions A, B, and C. Fraction A was chromatographed on a silica-gel column (type C, Lobar, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:15) giving successively fractions containing 11, 10, and 13. Fraction B was chromatographed on a silica-gel column (type B, Lobar, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:5) giving successively fractions containing 13 and 14. All the fractions were recrystallized from MeOH at  $-20^\circ$ . Complex 12 was present in less than 1% in the final reaction mixture. Under the conditions used here, it probably isomerized into 11 or/and was transformed into 13/14.

*Tetracarbonyl[(*1RS,2SR,3RS,4RS)-2,3- $\eta$ -(1-(*dimethoxymethyl*)-5,6-*dimethylidene*-7-*oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene)*]*iron* (10). Yield 470 mg (6.3%), yellow needles. M.p. 68–69°. UV (isooctane): 264 (sh, 8500), 216 (sh, 35400), 205 (36900). UV (95% EtOH): 265 (sh, 8200), 215 (sh, 34400), 206 (36000). IR (CHCI<sub>3</sub>): 3010, 2970, 2940, 2840, 2095, 2020, 2000, 1995, 1100, 1080. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>): 5.45, 5.43, 5.33, 5.10 (4*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>-C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>-C(6)); 4.72 (br. H-C(4)); 4.54 (*s*, CH-C(1)); 3.60, 3.58 (2*s*, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.21, 3.12 (2*d*, <sup>3</sup>*J*(H-C(2), H-C(3)) = 5.2, H-C(2), H-C(3)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCI<sub>3</sub>): 210.2 (*s*, CO); 146.5, 144.3 (2*m*, C(5), C(6)); 105.0 (*dm*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 161, CH-C(1)); 104.2, 102.2 (2 *t*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 160, CH<sub>2</sub>=C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(6)); 89.9 (*m*, C(1)); 82.9 (*dm*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 166, C(4)); 57.6, 56.6 (*qd*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 143, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C,H) = 5, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 56.5, 54.5 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 174, C(2), C(3)). MS (70 eV): 278 (100), 250 (49), 235 (23), 220 (46), 218 (55), 205 (18), 203 (18), 190 (55), 188 (59), 162 (58), 160 (35), 147 (56), 132 (28), 91 (19), 75 (24). Anal. calc. for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>FeO<sub>7</sub> (362.122): C 49.75, H 3.90; found: C 49.88, H 3.90. cis-Heptacarbonyl- $\mu$ -[(1RS,2SR,3RS,4SR,5RS,6SR)-2,3- $\eta$ : C,5,6,C- $\eta$ -(1-(dimethoxymethyl)-5,6-dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene)]diiron (11). Yield 145 mg (1.4%), yellow crystals. M.p. 99–100°. UV (isooctane): 300 (7900). IR (KBr): 3020, 2970, 2940, 2890, 2840, 2090, 2030, 2020, 2010, 1995, 1980, 1950, 1450, 1400, 1330, 1215, 1190, 1150, 1110, 1075, 980, 950, 935, 835. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 4.79, 4.68 (2s, CH-C(1), H-C(4)); 3.60, 3.58 (2s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 3.63, 3.48 (2d, <sup>3</sup>J(H-C(3), H-C(2))) = 5, H-C(3), H-C(2)); 2.21 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J = 2.5, <sup>4</sup>J(H-C(4), H-C=C(5)) = 0.8, H-C=C(5) trans to C(5)=C(6)); 2.04 (d, <sup>2</sup>J = 2.5, H-C=C(6) trans to C(5)=C(6)); 0.54, 0.47 (2d, <sup>2</sup>J = 2.5, H-C=C(5), H-C=C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 211.2, 209.0 (2s); 111.9, 110.8 (2s, C(5), C(6)); 104.3 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 160, CH-C(1)); 89.8 (s, C(1)); 82.2 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 170, C(4)); 57.5, (2d, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 170, C(2)); 53.3, 56.2 (2q, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 142, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 34.1, 33.0 (2t, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 162, CH<sub>2</sub>=C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(6)). CI-MS (CH<sub>4</sub>): 502 (15,  $M^+$ ), 446 (58), 418 (100), 390 (30), 362 (30), 334 (33), 306 (51). Anal. cale. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>10</sub> (501.994): C 43.07, H 2.81; found: C 42.87, H 2.79.

*Tricarbonyl[* C,5,6,C- $\eta$ -(*1*-(*dimethoxymethyl*)-5,6-*dimethylidenecyclohexa-1,3-diene*) *firon* (13). Yield 1.57 g (24%), yellow crystals. M.p. 70–73°. UV (95% EtOH): 255 (7900), 199 (33800). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 3010, 2965, 2940, 2840, 2055, 1995, 1470, 1350, 1120, 1075, 1060. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.67–7.35 (H–C(2), H–C(3), H–C(4)); 5.39 (*s*, CH–C(1)); 3.43, 3.38 (2*s*, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 2.78, 2.47 (2 *d*, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 3.6, H–C=C(5), H–C=C(6) *trans* to C(5), C(6)); 0.25, 0.07 (2*d*, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 3.6, H–C=C(5), H–C=C(6) *cis*). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 211.0 (*s*, CO); 139.2 (*m*, C(1)); 134.2 (*dm*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 165, C(2)); 103.2 (*dm*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 160, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C,H) = 5, CH–C(1)); 100.2, 100.1 (2*s*, C(5), C(6)); 54.5, 53.8 (2*qd*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 143, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C,H) = 5, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 36.7, 33.3 (2*t*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 160, *C*=C(5), *C*=C(6)). MS (70 eV): 318 (13, *M*<sup>++</sup>), 262 (17), 234 (33), 216 (13), 204 (15), 188 (17), 174 (100), 132 (54), 115 (30), 103 (43), 91 (30), 77 (44). Anal. calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>FeO<sub>5</sub> (318.112): C 52.86, H 4.44; found: C 52.70, H 4.36.

*Tricarbonyl[* C,3,4, C- $\eta$ -(*methyl-5*-(*dimethoxymethyl)*-3,4-*dimethylidenecyclohexa*-1,5-*dimet-1-carboxylate*)]-*iron* (14). Yield 116 mg (1.5%), yellow crystals. M.p. 81–82°. UV (isooctane): 386 (3200), 266 (13000), 222 (sh, 26800), 202 (43000). IR (KBr): 3000, 2950, 2830, 2040, 1980, 1960, 1710, 1430, 1360, 1290, 1240, 1225, 1130, 1070, 1050. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.30, 8.13 (2*s*, H–C(2), H–C(6)); 5.39 (*s*, CH–C(5)); 3.97 (*s*, COOCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.44, 3.40 (2*s*, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 2.89, 2.50 (2*d*, *J* = 3.5); 0.32, 0.15 (2*d*, *J* = 3.5, H–C=C(3), H–C=C(4) *cis* to C(3), C(4)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, - 30°): 215.6, 206.6, 205.4 (3 br. *s*, CO); 166.1 (*s*, CH<sub>3</sub>OOC); 139.4, 125.6 (2*d*, <sup>1</sup>/(C,H) = 166, C(2), C(6)); 137.6, 128.4 (2*s*, C(1), C(5)); 101.8, 96.8 (2*s*, C(3), C(4)); 101.7 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>/(C,H) = 161, CH–C(5)); 53.8, 53.4 (2*dq*, <sup>1</sup>/(C,H) = 143, <sup>3</sup>/(C,H) = 5, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O); 52.4 (*q*, <sup>1</sup>/(C,H) = 147, COOCH<sub>3</sub>); 36.7, 33.5 (2*t*, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(3), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(4)). MS (70 eV): 376 (29), 348 (23), 320 (15), 292 (73), 262 (81), 232 (65), 204 (100), 174 (79), 146 (55), 131 (47), 115 (49), 103 (50). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>FeO<sub>7</sub> (376.144): C 51.09, H 4.29; found: C 51.13, H 4.18.

Complexation of 9 with  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  (Method B). A mixture of 9 (2.3 g, 11.84 mmol), anh. MeOH (130 ml), and  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  (7.5 g, 20.6 mmol) was stirred at 20° under vigourous bubbling with Ar.  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  (twice 3.5 g, 9.6 mmol) was added after 20 h and 28 h of reaction. After 50 h at 20°, the mixture was filtered on a short column of silica gel (AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:8). The yellow fraction was collected and evaporated. After addition of acetone (5 ml) and 1N HCl (3 ml), the mixture was allowed to stay at 20° for 15 h. This acidic treatment was required to hydrolyze 13 quantitatively into 18. Under these conditions, acetals 9–12 were not hydrolyzed. H<sub>2</sub>O (10 ml) was added and the mixture extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (40 ml, 3 times). After drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was evaporated and the residue separated by column chromatography on silica gel (Lobar, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:20), yielding successively 690 mg (11.6%) of 11, 180 mg, (4.2%) of 10, 529 mg (8.9%) of 12 and 357 mg (11.1%) of 18.

trans-*Heptacarbonyl*- $\mu$ -[(1RS,2SR,3RS,4SR,5SR,6RS)-2,3- $\eta$ : C,5,6,C- $\eta$ -(1-(dimethoxymethyl)-5,6-dimethylidene-7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene)]diiron (12). Yellow crystals. M.p. 82–83°. UV (isooctane): 310 (6820). IR (KBr): 3020, 2950, 2850, 2090, 2040, 2020, 2010, 1990, 1975, 1965, 1445, 1390, 1360, 1330, 1210, 1190, 1080, 970, 935, 910. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 5.15, 4.67 (2s, CH-C(1), H-C(4)): 3.66, 3.62 (2s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O): 3.60, 3.48 (2d, <sup>3</sup>J(H-C(3), H-C(2)) = 5, H-C(3), H-C(2)): 2.43, 2.16 (2d, <sup>2</sup>J = 3, H-C=C(5), H-C=C(6) trans to C(5), C(6)): 0.74, 0.69 (2d, <sup>2</sup>J = 3, H-C=C(5), H-C=C(6)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 209.6 (s, CO of Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> and Fe(CO)<sub>4</sub>): 118.6, 117.6 (2s, C(5), C(6)): 105.8 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 160, CH-C(1)): 92.0 (s, C(1)): 83.0 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 170, C(4)): 61.2, 59.9 (2d, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 174, C(2), C(3)): 58.04 57.17 (2q, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 143, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O): 34.37, 34.03 (2t, <sup>1</sup>J(C,H) = 162, CH<sub>2</sub>=C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(6)). CI-MS (CH<sub>4</sub>): 474 (4,  $M^+$  - CO), 446 (400, 418 (100), 390 (11), 362 (15), 334 (21), 306 (41). Anal. calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>14</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>10</sub> (501.994): C 43.07, H 2.81; found: C 42.89, H 2.79.

Thermal Decomposition of 11 in an Open Flask. A. A soln. of 11 (76 mg, 0.15 mmol) in toluene (6 ml) was heated to 95° for 80 min. After solvent evaporation and purification of the residue by column chromatography on silica gel (5 g, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:7), 30 mg (53%) of 13 were obtained. B. A soln. of 11 (107 mg, 0.21 mmol) in MeOH (20 ml) was heated to 50° for 5 d, then to 60° for 1 d, under bubbling of Ar. After filtration on Florisil (AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:4), a 15:1 mixture 13/14 was obtained. Chromatography on silica gel (Lobar, column

type B, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:7) gave a 1st fraction containing 30 mg (63%) of 13 and a 2nd fraction yielding 2.5 mg (4.4%) of 14.

Thermal Decomposition of 11 in a Sealed Vessel. A soln. of 11 (253 mg, 0.5 mmol) in MeOH (45 ml) was heated to  $60^{\circ}$  for 5 d in a sealed, round-bottom flask. The mixture was filtered on a short column of silica gel (AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:4). After solvent evaporation, the residue was purified by medium-pressure chromatography on silica gel (Lobar, column type B, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:10), yielding successively 90 mg (56%) of 13 and 31 mg (16%) of 14. Product ratio 13/14 3.5:1.

Thermal Decomposition of 11 under CO Pressure. A soln. of 11 (100 mg, 0.2 mmol) in MeOH (19 ml) was degassed under vacuum and then pressurized with CO (2.5 atm). After heating to  $60^{\circ}$  for 5 d, the mixture was filtered on a short column of silica gel (AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:4) and purified by medium-pressure chromatography on silica gel (*Lobar*, column type A, AcOEt/petroleum ether 1:15). The 1st fraction yielded 20.5 mg (20.4%) of 11. A 2nd fraction gave 1 mg (1.8%) of 18 (derived from hydrolysis of 13). A 3rd fraction yielded 6 mg (7.9%) of 14. Product ratio 18/140.23:1. Complex 14 was less sensitive than 13 toward acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of the acetal function (the ester group in 14 destabilizes the benzyl-cation intermediate).

*Tricarbonyl[* C,5,6,C- $\eta$ -(5,6-dimethylidenecyclohexa-1,3-diene-1-carbaldehyde) Jiron (18). A mixture of 13 (202 mg, 0.63 mmol), THF/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1 (3 ml) and *Nafion*-H<sup>+</sup> (18 mg) was stirred at 20° for 1 d. After filtration, the solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (30 ml). After drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was evaporated and the residue purified by filtration through a short column of silica gel (AcOEt/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:50). Recrystallization from MeOH at  $-20^{\circ}$  yielded 159 mg (93%) of yellow-orange crystals. M.p. 67-68°. UV (dioxane): 397 (4100), 304 (7900), 269 (sh, 10800), 214 (34500). IR (KBr): 3070, 2840, 2740, 2040, 1990, 1970, 1690, 1540, 1470, 1230, 1170, 1090, 960, 900, 780. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10.17 (*s*, CHO); 7.93 (*d*, *J* = 7.5, H–C(2)); 7.85 (*d*, *J* = 9, H–C(4)); 7.54 (*dd*, *J* = 7.5, 9, H–C(3)); 3.90, 2.48 (2*d*, *J* = 3.5, 2H); 0.34, 0.14 (2*d*, *J* = 3.5, 2H): <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 209.5 (CO); 191.4 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 174, CHO); 141.3, 138.9 (2*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 160, C(2), C(4)); 126.8 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 165, C(3)); 13.68 (*s*, C(1)); 98.7, 97.9 (2*s*, C(5)), C(6)); 36.5, 34.2 (2*t*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 160, CH<sub>2</sub>=C(5), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(6)). MS (70 eV): 272 (31), 244 (27), 216 (44), 189 (82), 160 (20), 133 (84), 103 (28), 77 (27). Anal. calc. for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>8</sub>FeO<sub>4</sub> (271.919): C 52.98, H 2.96; found: C 52.94, H 2.94.

*Tricarbonyl[* C,3,4, C- $\eta$ -(*methyl-5-formyl-3,4-dimethylidenecyclohexa-1,5-dime-1-carboxylate*) *jiron* (19). Same procedure as for 18, starting with 14. Yield 90%, yellow-orange crystals. M.p. 123–124°. UV (dioxane): 420 (3300), 312 (sh, 4600), 268 (11200), 222 (28800), 214 (28700). IR (K Br): 3060, 3000, 2960, 2820, 2740, 2060, 1980, 1720, 1690, 1440, 1310, 1240, 1215, 1100, 1000, 765. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10.18 (*s*, CHO); 8.60, 8.49 (2*s*, U–C(2), H–C(6)); 4.02 (*s*, CH<sub>3</sub>OOC); 4.00, 2.49 (2*d*, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 3.6, H–C=C(3), H–C=C(4), *trans* to C(3), C(4)); 0.38, 0.30 (2*d*, *J* = 3.6, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 209.0 (*s*, CO), 191.3 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 178, CHO); 165.2 (*s*, COOCH<sub>3</sub>); 145.2, 138.3 (2*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 164, C(2), C(6)); 136.8, 128.7 (2*s*, C(1), C(5)); 100.5, 96.7 (2*s*, C(3), C(4)); 52.8 (*q*, CH<sub>3</sub>O); 37.3, 35.7 (2*t*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 160, CH<sub>2</sub>=C(3), CH<sub>2</sub>=C(4)). MS (70 eV): 330 (30), 302 (30), 274 (43), 246 (84), 218 (61), 216 (72), 188 (75), 160 (23), 132 (53), 103 (33), 77 (42), 56 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>10</sub>FeO<sub>6</sub> (330.075): C 50.94, H 3.05; found: C 51.10, H 3.08.

2-Indanone (15). Anh.  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$  (990 mg, 1.8 mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred soln. of 5 (0.2 g, 0.82 mmol) in anh. acetone (30 ml). After 50 min, 145 mg (0.26 mmol) of  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$  were added and after 70 min, 100 mg of  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$ . After 100 min of reaction and addition of H<sub>2</sub>O (120 ml), the mixture was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 ml, 4 times). The extract was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent evaporated, and the residue purified by filtration through a short column of silica gel (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 98 mg (90%) of white crystals. M.p. 54–55° ([24]: 54–56°).

2,3-Dihydro-2-oxo-1H-indene-4-carbaldehyde (20). Anh.  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$  (950 mg, 1.73 mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred soln. of 13 (250 mg, 0.78 mmol) in anh. acetone (40 ml) at 20°. After 45 min and 60 min of stirring at 20°, 170 mg (0.31 mmol) and 160 mg (0.29 mmol) of  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$ , respectively, were added. After 95 min, pentane (100 ml) was added and the mixture filtered. The solution was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (70 ml), the aq. phase extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 ml, 4 times), the combined org. phase dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated, and the residue purified by column chromatography on silica gel (AcOEt/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:50), yielding, after recrystallization from toluene, 81 mg (65%) of yellowish crystals. M.p. 95–96°. Sublimation (70°/11 Torr) yielded pure, white crystals. M.p. 101–102°. UV (dioxane): 300 (2200), 253 (11600), 247 (10900), 212 (15500). IR (KBr): 2900, 2870, 2850, 2770, 1740, 1685, 1590, 1395, 1235, 1145, 995, 785, 775, 745. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10.12 (*s*, CHO); 7.77, 7.58 (2*d*, *J* = 7.6, H–C(5), H–C(7)); 7.51 (*t*, *J* = 7.6, H–C(6)); 3.93, 3.58 (2*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(1), CH<sub>2</sub>(3)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (90 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 213.6 (*s*, CO); 191.9 (*d*); 139.6, 139.0, 132.5 (3*s*); 131.6, 130.2, 127.9 (3*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 160); 43.9, 42.9 (2*t*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,H) = 135). MS (70 eV): 160 (11), 132 (48), 104 (78), 78 (44), 70 (50), 61 (80), 45 (100). Anal. calc. for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (160.172): C 74.99, H 5.03; found: C 75.05, H 4.98.

Under the same conditions as for the transformation of 13 into 20, 18 gave 20 in 69% yield.

*Methyl* 7-Formyl-2,3-dihydro-2-oxo-1H-indene-5-carboxylate (21). Anh.  $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$  (403 mg, 0.73 mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred soln. of 14 (78 mg, 0.21 mmol) in anh. acetone (8 ml). After 50 min at 20°,  $H_2O(10 \text{ ml})$  was added and the mixture extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 ml, 3 times). After drying (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), the solvent was evaporated and the residue purified by chromatography on a column of silica gel (AcOEt/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:10), yielding 22.5 mg (32%) of yellowish crystals. M.p. 136–150° (dec.). UV (dioxane): 307 (3400), 299 (3400), 258 (sh, 8300), 252 (sh, 10400), 223 (30700). IR (KBr): 3000, 2960, 1740, 1710, 1680, 1580, 1430, 1390, 1380, 1340, 1300, 1260, 1220, 1100, 1010, 980, 965. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (360 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 10.16 (*s*, CHO); 8.46, 8.25 (2*s*, H–C(4), H–C(6)); 3.99 (*s*, COOCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.95, 3.63 (2*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(1), CH<sub>2</sub>(3)). MS (70 eV): 218 (23,  $M^+$ ), 190 (100), 187 (21), 162 (22), 159 (15), 146 (15), 131 (32), 119 (10), 103 (69), 102 (50), 77 (84). HR-MS (ref.: iodotoluene): ( $C_{12}H_{10}O_4^+$ , calc. 218.0579); 218.0575–218.0597.

In some runs, the methyl acetal 17 of 21 was isolated as a minor compound, which was hydrolyzed into 21 on standing in CDCl<sub>3</sub> soln. 17: White crystals. M.p.  $102-104^{\circ}$ . <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (80 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.25, 8.12 (2s, H–C(4), H–C(6)); 5.47 (s, CH–C(7)); 4.02 (s, COOCH<sub>3</sub>); 3.75, 3.67 (2s, CH<sub>2</sub>(1), CH<sub>2</sub>(3)); 3.40 (s, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>O).

## REFERENCES

- [1] A.A. Pinkerton, P.-A. Carrupt, P. Vogel, T. Boschi, N.H. Thuy, R. Roulet, Inorg. Chim. Acta 1978, 28, 123.
- [2] Ph. Vioget, M. Bonivento, R. Roulet, P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 1630.
- [3] Ph. Vioget, P. Vogel, R. Roulet, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1982, 21, 430.
- [4] Ph. Vioget, M. Bonivento, R. Roulet, P. Vogel, Helv. Chim. Acta 1984, 67, 1638.
- [5] J.-L. Métral, P. Vogel, Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5387.
- [6] a) J.-L. Métral, P. Vogel, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1985, 68, 334; b) J.-L. Métral, J. Lauterwein, P. Vogel, *ibid.* 1986, 69, 1287.
- [7] W.R. Roth, J.D. Meier, Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 2053.
- [8] L. Mavoungou-Gomès, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1967, 1753.
- [9] E. Bonfantini, P. Vogel, in preparation.
- [10] D. Gagnaire, E. Payo-Subiza, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1963, 2627; C. K. Ramey, D. C. Lini, J. Magn. Reson. 1970, 3, 94; W. L. Nelson, D. R. Alten, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1972, 9, 561; F. Kienzle, Helv. Chim. Acta 1975, 58, 1180; C. Mahaim, P. Vogel, ibid. 1982, 65, 866.
- [11] E. Meier, A. A. Pinkerton, R. Roulet, P. Vogel, D. Schwarzenbach, J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 220, 341; A. A. Pinkerton, G. Chapuis, P. Vogel, U. Hänish, P. Narbel, T. Boschi, R. Roulet, Inorg. Chim. Acta 1979, 35, 197.
- [12] Y. Shvo, E. Hazum, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 336.
- [13] G. F. Emerson, J. E. Mahler, R. Kochhar, R. Pettit, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 3620; C. H. Mauldin, E. R. Biehl, P. C. Reeves, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1972, 2955.
- [14] J. Ioset, R. Roulet, Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 236.
- [15] B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, D. T. Thompson, Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 3789.
- [16] C. W. Yip, P. Au, T.-Y. Luh, S. W. Tam, J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 175, 221.
- [17] L. Lombardo, D. Wege, S. P. Wilkinson, Aust. J. Chem. 1974, 27, 143.
- [18] F.-W. Grevels, K. Schneider, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1981, 20, 410; S. Sarel, G. Chriki, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 4971; R. Aumann, J. Knecht, Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 3927; P. Eilbracht, P. Dahler, ibid. 1980, 113, 542.
- [19] A. M. Horton, D. M. Hollinshead, S. V. Ley, Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 1737; see also: G. D. Annis, S. V. Ley, C. R. Self, R. Sivaramakrishnan, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1980, 270; G. D. Annis, S. V. Ley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1977, 581; R. Aumann, H. Ring, C. Krüger, R. Goddard, Chem. Ber. 1979, 112, 3644; G. D. Annis, S. V. Ley, C. R. Self, R. Sivaramakrishnan, D. J. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 1355.
- [20] See also: R.F. Heldeweg, H. Hogeveen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6040.
- [21] B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, D. J. Thompson, B. Heil, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1975, 567; B. F. G. Johnson, D. D. Karlin, J. Lewis, J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 145, C 23; B. F. G. Johnson, K. D. Karlin, J. Lewis, *ibid*. 1979, 174, C 29; P. Eilbracht, R. Jelitte, P. Trabold, Chem. Ber. 1986, 119, 169, and ref. cit. therein; M. Franck-Neumann, Pure Appl. Chem. 1983, 55, 1715.
- [22] T. Ishizu, K. Harano, M. Yasuda, K. Kanematsu, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1981**, 1601; see also: K. M. Nicholas, M. Rosenblum, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1973**, 95, 4449; S. N. Anderson, C. W. Fong, M. D. Johnson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1973**, 163; R. H. Magnuson, R. Meirowitz, S. S. Zulu, W. P. Giering, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1982**, 104, 5790; Organometallics **1983**, 2, 460.
- [23] W. H. Hersch, R. G. Bermann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6992.
- [24] Beilstein 7, 363.